

pubs.acs.org/journal/apchd5

### <sup>1</sup> Plasmonic Nanoantenna Arrays as Efficient Etendue Reducers for <sup>2</sup> Optical Detection

3 Shaojun Wang,\*<sup>†</sup><sup>©</sup> Quynh Le-Van,<sup>†</sup> Thibault Peyronel,<sup>‡</sup> Mohammad Ramezani,<sup>†</sup><sup>©</sup> Niels Van Hoof,<sup>†</sup> 4 Tobias G. Tiecke,<sup>‡</sup> and Jaime Gomez Rivas<sup>\*,†,§</sup>

s <sup>†</sup>Dutch Institute for Fundamental Energy Research, P.O. Box 6336, 5600 HH Eindhoven, The Netherlands

6 <sup>‡</sup>Facebook Inc., Connectivity Lab, 1 Hacker Way, Menlo Park, California 94025, United States

<sup>§</sup>Department of Applied Physics, Eindhoven University of Technology, P.O. Box 513, 5600 MB Eindhoven, The Netherlands 7

#### Supporting Information 8

ABSTRACT: Optical detectors require the efficient collection of incident 9 light onto a photodetector. Refractive or reflective optics are commonly 10 used to increase the collected power. However, in the absence of losses, 11 such optics conserve etendue and therefore pose a limit on the field of view 12 and the active area of the detector. A promising method to overcome this 13 limitation is to use an intermediate layer of fluorescent material that 14 omnidirectionally absorbs the incident light and preferentially emits toward 15 16 the photodetector. We demonstrate here that plasmonic nanoantenna 17 phased arrays are a promising platform to improve the emission efficiency of thin luminescent layers and provide an efficient method to reduce optical 18 etendue. In particular, we show an almost constant optical absorption of the 19 luminescent layer on top of the array with the angle of incidence and a 20 strong beamed emission in small solid angles in the forward direction. 2.1 These results pave the way for novel optical communication detectors 22



**KEYWORDS:** free-space optical communication, plasmonics, surface lattice resonaces, directional emission, fluorescence materials 24

ptical communication applications often require the 25 concentration of light onto a photodetector to magnify 26 27 the received signal. Using refractive or reflective optics allows 28 for the concentration of light, however, it typically comes at the 29 expense of a reduced field of view because the etendue, that is, 30 the product of the area and field of view, is conserved in the absence of losses. Luminescent materials can act as etendue 31 32 reducers to concentrate energy onto a photodetector and have 33 been used for solar concentrators,<sup>1</sup> high-energy particle 34 detection,<sup>2</sup> and recently for free-space optical communications 35 (FSOC).<sup>3</sup>

Desirable characteristics of a photodetector for FSOC 36 37 include a large bandwidth, a large area and a large field of 38 view to allow for high data rate in the presence of multimode 39 beams with large spatial extent. However, only two of the above 40 characteristics can be matched simultaneously with traditional 41 solid-state detectors. Large area detectors have a slow response 42 time and focusing optics minimize the field of view by 43 conservation of etendue. The etendue can be effectively 44 reduced by using a fluorescent material as an intermediate 45 light conversion layer before detection.<sup>3</sup> In this process the 46 laser beam carrying the information is absorbed with near unity 47 efficiency over a wide angle of incidence and is preferentially re-48 emitted in the direction of the photodetector. In this approach, 49 the spontaneous decay rate of the photoluminescent material

should be as fast as possible for large bandwidth optical 50 communication and the conversion of the incident light and 51 collection efficiency of the emission as high as possible. 52

Detecto

In this work, we demonstrate a novel geometry where 53 luminescent materials, comprised of fluorescent dye molecules 54 near metallic nanoparticle arrays, achieve light conversion from 55 an incident laser beam over a large field of view and an emission 56 in a small solid angle toward a semiconductor photodetector. 57 This process reduces the etendue of the light field and, when 58 combined with a conventional lens focusing the directionally 59 emitted light, results in a photodetector with an effective large 60 area and a response time set by the decay rate of the fluorescent 61 dye, which can be significantly faster than a semiconductor 62 detector of the same size. Metallic nanoparticles supporting 63 localized surface plasmon resonances (LSPRs), that is, coherent 64 oscillations of the free electrons in the nanoparticle driven by 65 an electromagnetic field, have emerged in recent years as 66 interesting structures to control the emission properties of 67 quantum emitters in their close proximity.<sup>4,5</sup> This characteristic 68 has led to the description of these structures as optical 69 nanoantennas.<sup>6</sup> Antenna phased arrays are designed to beam 70 electromagnetic waves in certain directions by controlling the 71

Received: March 6, 2018



Figure 1. (a) Schematic representation of the sample. From bottom to top: fused silica substrate, Al nanoparticle array and polymer layer containing dye molecules. (b) Side view scanning electron microscope image of the Al nanoparticle array. The scale bar in the inset represents 400 nm. (c) Absorptance (black curve, left axis) and normalized photoluminescence (red curve, right axis) spectrum of a 700 nm thick, 8.5 wt % Lumogen red dye layer.

<sup>72</sup> phase difference and interference of the waves radiated by <sup>73</sup> different antennas. Similarly, periodic arrays of metallic <sup>74</sup> nanoparticles constitute the analogue of passive antenna arrays <sup>75</sup> in which the radiation from emitters in their proximity is <sup>76</sup> beamed in defined directions due to scattering of this emission <sup>77</sup> with the periodic structure and interference.<sup>7–16</sup> Also, lasing <sup>78</sup> from nanoparticles arrays has been reported,<sup>17–19</sup> and <sup>79</sup> described in terms of cavity modes resulting from the coupling <sup>80</sup> of LSPRs.<sup>18</sup> The emission from these structures can be <sup>81</sup> explained by considering the decay of the emitters into the <sup>82</sup> modes supported by the structure and the radiation of these <sup>83</sup> modes into the far-field.

A periodic array of nanoparticles covered with a layer of 84 85 emitters can support two different types of modes besides 86 LSPRs, called surface lattice resonances (SLRs) and quasi-87 guided modes. SLRs are the result of the enhanced radiative 88 coupling of LSPRs by the so-called Rayleigh anomalies (RAs), 89 that is, diffracted orders in the plane of the array.<sup>20</sup> For quasi-90 guided modes, the enhancement in the radiative coupling of 91 LSPRs is provided by guided modes in the layer of emitters.<sup>2</sup> 92 In both cases, the dispersion of the modes and the directional 93 outcoupling of the emission is mainly dictated by the 94 periodicity of the array and the polarizability of the constituent 95 nanoparticles. By designing arrays in which the emission of dye 96 molecules is preferential in the direction perpendicular to the 97 surface, we demonstrate that these arrays provide a large 98 improvement in directionality over a bare layer of molecules 99 and constitute a step forward toward a passive etendue reducer. The combination of nanoantenna arrays and dye molecules 100 allows the capture of photons over a large area and field of view, 101 102 and the re-emission as a collimated beam, which can be subsequently focused onto a small area photodiode. 103

# SAMPLE DESCRIPTION AND EMISSION OF DYE LAYERS

f1

106 Figure 1a displays a schematic representation of the 107 investigated plasmonic nanoantenna array. The sample consists 108 of a square array of aluminum (Al) nanoparticles with a lattice 109 constant a = 410 nm. The shape of the individual nanoparticles 110 is a tapered nanopyramid with a height of 150 nm and a 111 diameter of 80 nm at the top and 140 nm at the base. The 112 nanoantenna array, with a size of  $3 \times 3$  mm<sup>2</sup>, was fabricated 113 onto a fused silica substrate by substrate conformal nano-114 imprint lithography (SCIL) followed by reactive ion etching 115 (RIE) of the aluminum.<sup>23</sup> SCIL is based on a flexible rubber 116 stamp, which replicates a pattern containing nanostructures

onto a sol-gel that can be further processed. This process can 117 be scaled to large area (wafer size), enabling industrial 118 applications of nanostructured surfaces.<sup>24</sup> Each nanoparticle is 119 coated by a thin layer of native oxide  $(Al_2O_3)$  that protects it 120 from further oxidation. A scanning electron microscope (SEM) 121 image of the nanoparticle array is shown in Figure 1b. A 700 122 nm thick polystyrene layer containing photostable dye 123 molecules (Lumogen red F305, BASF) with a weight percent 124 concentration of 8.5 wt % is deposited on top of the array by 125 spin coating. In what follows, we call this the dye layer. The 126 refractive index of such a dye layer  $(n_{dye} = 1.61)$  is larger than 127 that of the substrate beneath  $(n_{\text{substrate}} = 1.46)$  and the air on 128 top  $(n_{air} = 1)$ , which renders this layer a planar waveguide. The 129 absorptance and normalized fluorescence spectra of the dye 130 layer are displayed in Figure 1c. There are three main 131 absorption peaks at 450, 533, and 575 nm, respectively, 132 corresponding to different energy levels of vibronic transitions 133 in the dye molecules. The emission maximum of the dye layer 134 is at a wavelength of 620 nm.

To ensure that the Al nanoantenna array is coated with the 136 optimum concentration of dye molecules, we explored its 137 ability to efficiently reduce etendue.<sup>3</sup> We define a figure of 138 merit (F) characterizing the etendue reduction, i.e. the 139 efficiency with which the luminescent slab absorb photons 140 and remit them in the forward direction in a reduced solid 141 angle, as 142

$$F(\Omega_0) = \frac{4\pi}{\Omega_0} \cdot \frac{\int_0^{\Omega_0} P_{\text{out}}(\lambda_{\text{out}}, \Omega) \cdot d\Omega}{P_{\text{in}}(\lambda_{\text{in}})} \cdot \frac{\lambda_{\text{out}}}{\lambda_{\text{in}}}$$
(1) 143

where  $P_{in}(\lambda_{in})$  is the incident power (assumed to be 144 Lambertian) at wavelength  $\lambda_{in}$  and  $P_{out}(\lambda_{out}, \Omega)$  is the radiant 145 power emitted into the solid angle  $\Omega$  with wavelength  $\lambda_{out}$ . 146 Equation 1 gives the ratio of the number of photons emitted 147 within the solid angle  $\Omega_0$  to the number of incident photons. 148 Note that the integrated F over full space ( $\Omega_0 = 4\pi$ ) is equal to 149 1 for a luminescent layer that absorbs 100% of the incident light 150 and emits with unity fluorescence quantum efficiency (QY). 151 Therefore, the nanoantenna array will demonstrate optical 152 etendue reduction if there exist a value of  $\Omega_0$  for which F > 1. It 153 is desirable for an optical detector device to achieve  $F \gg 1$  by 154 reemitting photons without loss in a small solid angle  $\Omega_0 \rightarrow 0$ . 155 The emitted light can then be focused into a small spot with an 156 area inversely proportional to  $\Omega_0$  using conventional optics. 157

A first step to achieve F > 1 is to maximize the absorption 158 efficiency of the luminescent detector. Starting with a bare dye 159



**Figure 2.** (a) Absorptance of a 700 nm thick polymer layer with Lumogen dye molecules as a function of the dye concentration in weight percent measured at different wavelengths: 450 nm (blue triangles), 533 nm (red circles), and 575 nm (black squares). (b) Fluorescence quantum yield (QY) of the dye layer as a function of concentration. (c) Figure of merit (F) of the dye layer as a function of concentration calculated from the absorptance and QY measurements of (a) and (b). The solid lines and curves are guides to the eye.



**Figure 3.** (a) Emission intensity of a polymer layer with Lumogen dye molecules, (b) the dye layer on a nanoparticle array detected from the front side of the array, and (c) the dye layer on the nanoparticle array detected from the back side of the array, as a function of emission angle. The three measurements have been done under the same experimental conditions and are normalized to the same value. The maximum emission angle in the measurements is limited to  $26^{\circ}$  by the numerical aperture of the objective lens (NA = 0.45). (d) Photoluminescence enhancement (PLE), defined as the emission from the nanoparticle array normalized by the emission of the bare dye layer, as a function of the wavelength and measured in the direction perpendicular to the sample surface. The black solid and red dash-dotted curves correspond to the back and front detected intensity enhancements, respectively. The three peaks from right to left correspond to the zero-order quasi-guided modes (TE–TM<sub>0</sub>), the degenerate (±1, 0) and (0, ±1) Rayleigh anomalies (RAs), and the first-order quasi-guided modes (TE–TM<sub>1</sub>). Simulated spatial distribution of the near-field intensity enhancement in a unit cell of the nanoparticle array. The simulations are performed for a plane wave incident with a wavelength of 638 nm (corresponding to the TE–TM<sub>0</sub> modes in (d)) incident normal to the array from the front (e) and back (f) side.

<sup>160</sup> layer where the dye molecules are isotropically dispersed in the <sup>161</sup> polymer matrix and the emission is Lambertian, the absorption <sup>162</sup> of the excitation beam can be maximized by increasing the <sup>163</sup> concentration of the molecules or the layer thickness. However, <sup>164</sup> in order to increase the emission in the forward direction with <sup>165</sup> the nanoparticle array, as shown later, the layer thickness needs <sup>166</sup> to be kept below 1  $\mu$ m.<sup>25</sup> Increasing the molecular <sup>167</sup> concentration also leads to concentration quenching of the <sup>168</sup> emission and the reduction of the QY. This reduces the <sup>169</sup> efficiency of the luminescence process quantified by  $F(4\pi)$ , which is given by to the product of the absolute absorption and  $_{170}$  the quantum yield (QY) of the bare dye film. Therefore, *F* for  $_{171}$  thin molecular layers are typically much smaller than one.  $_{172}$ 

Figure 2 displays measurements of the absorptance (*A*), QY, 173 f2 and integrated *F* for various dye layers with the same thickness 174 of 700 nm, containing different molecular concentrations and 175 for different excitation wavelengths ( $\lambda_{in} = 450, 533$ , and 575 176 nm). The absorptance was determined from the conservation 177 of energy, that is, A = 1 - T - R, where *T* is the transmittance 178 of the normal incident beam through the dye film and *R* is the 179

> DOI: 10.1021/acsphotonics.8b00298 ACS Photonics XXXX, XXX, XXX–XXX

Article

180 reflectance. The absorption of the dye molecules at each 181 wavelength increases linearly as a function of the dye 182 concentration. At  $\lambda_{in} = 575$  nm, the dye molecules present 183 the highest absorptance as it is shown in Figure 2a. The QY of 184 the same layers was measured with an integrating sphere and it 185 is shown in Figure 2b.<sup>26</sup> The QY of the dye is reduced by 186 increasing concentration, which is mainly associated with the 187 aforementioned emission quenching due to the increase of the 188 nonradiative energy transfer between neighboring dye mole-189 cules.<sup>27,28</sup> Consequently, a maximum value of the integrated F 190 of 0.2 for the bare dye film is obtained for a concentration of 191 ~8.5 wt % and by exciting the layer at  $\lambda_{in} = 575$  nm (see Figure 192 2c). In what follows, we show that the plasmonic nanoantenna 193 array can improve F by enhancing the directional emission of 194 the dye layer.

# DIRECTIONAL EMISSION OF NANOANTENNA ARRAYS

197 The emission directivity of the dye layer with and without the 198 nanoantenna array was characterized with a confocal Fourier setup schematically represented in Figure S3 and described in 199 the Supporting Information. The emission from the sample was 200 collected by a 20× microscope objective with a numerical 202 aperture (NA) of 0.45. The emission intensity of the sample as 203 a function of the angle was recorded in the back focal plane of 204 the objective with a Fourier lens. Figure 3a-c show the Fourier 205 images of the emission from the bare dye layer, the emission of 206 the dye layer on top of the nanoantenna array detected from 207 the front side, that is, the particle array side facing the objective 208 lens, and the back detected emission of the dye layer on top of 209 the nanoantennas, that is, the substrate side of the sample 210 facing the objective lens, respectively. Note that these 211 measurements were performed under the same conditions 212 and normalized to the same value to facilitate a direct 213 comparison. The emission from the bare dye film displays a 214 nearly isotropic distribution within the maximum angle 215 measured ( $\theta_{em} = 26^\circ$ ), corresponding to its Lambertian 216 properties. The emission from the dye layer on top of the 217 nanoparticle array is predominantly confined in a small solid angle. This pronounced beaming effect is due to the emission 218 219 decay into the SLRs and quasi-guided modes supported by the 220 dye layer on the particle array and the subsequent outcoupling 221 of the emission to free space in a defined direction.  $2^{29-3}$ 222 Interestingly, the beaming effect detected from the back side of 223 the particle array is more pronounced than the one observed 224 from the front side. As we will show later, this is mainly due to 225 the different fractional density of optical states (FLDOS) in the 226 two directions because of the tapered shape of the nano-227 particles.

The photoluminescence enhancement (PLE) spectra, 228 229 defined as the PL of the dye layer on top of the particle 230 array normalized by the PL of the same layer on top of the flat substrate and recorded in the normal direction ( $\theta_{em} = 0^\circ$ ) are 231 232 presented in Figure 3d. To distinguish the different peaks in the 233 spectra, we have determined the angular dispersion of the particle array sample by measuring the extinction (see Figure 234 235 S1). The dispersion curves are well described by the grating and 236 planar waveguide phase matching equations and neglecting the 237 coupling between the different modes. Based on these 238 extinction measurements, we can assign the three main peaks 239 of the PLE spectra in Figure 3d to the zeroth-order quasi-240 guided modes  $(TE-TM_0)$  coupled into free space through the 241 lattice diffraction orders, the Rayleigh anomalies (RAs), and the

first-order quasi-guided modes (TE–TM<sub>1</sub>). Due to the small <sup>242</sup> energy differences between TE and TM modes,<sup>31</sup> a single peak, <sup>243</sup> instead of two, is observed in the PLE spectra. The solid black <sup>244</sup> curve in Figure 3d corresponds to the emission detected from <sup>245</sup> the backside of the particle array sample. This emission shows a <sup>246</sup> 14-fold, 10-fold, and 5.8-fold PLE at the TE–TM<sub>1</sub>, RAs, and <sup>247</sup> TE–TM<sub>0</sub> frequencies, respectively. The dash-dotted red curve <sup>248</sup> in the same figure corresponds to the emission detected from <sup>249</sup> the front side of sample and shows an overall lower <sup>250</sup> enhancement. The integrated directional enhancement over <sup>251</sup> the emission spectra detected from the back side is 1.2× higher <sup>252</sup> than the one measured from the front side. <sup>253</sup>

To gain more physical insight in the asymmetry of the 254 detected emission intensity, we have simulated the electric field 255 (E) intensity profile at the position of the dye layer when 256illuminated by a plane wave from both sides using a commercial 257 finite-difference in time-domain (FDTD) solver. Bloch periodic 258 boundary conditions were used on the boundaries of the unit 259 cell of the array and perfectly matched absorbing layers were 260 used on the vertical boundaries of the simulation volume. The 261 optical constants of the Al used in the simulation was obtained 262 from the literature<sup>32</sup> and fitted using the Drude model. The 263 incident wave in the simulations was a broadband pulse 264 incident along the normal direction. These simulations 265 represent the FLDOS of the system and are related to the 266 experiments by reciprocity, that is, a local source will emit 267 preferentially in a given direction when the local field at the 268 position of the source for a plane wave incident from this 269 direction is the highest.<sup>33</sup> As an example for the TE-TM<sub>1</sub>  $_{270}$ mode, Figure 3e shows the E-field intensity in the xoz plane 271 crossing the center of a nanoparticle in a unit cell of the array 272 when illuminated by a plane wave incident from the front side 273 of the sample along the normal direction. The color scale 274 represents the field intensity normalized by the incident field 275 intensity. This simulation can be compared to the emission 276 shown in Figure 3b. Similarly, in Figure 3f the E-field intensity 277 is calculated for illumination by a plane wave incident from the 278 back side of the sample to compare with the emission shown in 279 Figure 3c. The results of Figures 3e,f show that the E-field 280 intensity for the illumination from the back and front side have 281 different enhancement and slightly different shape, which leads 282 to the detected asymmetry in the emission intensity from the 283 arrav. 2.84

After the optimization of the dye concentration and the 285 description of the asymmetric beaming effect of the nano- 286 pyramid array, we set out to measure the  $F(\Omega_0)$  of the 287 nanoparticle array. Experimentally, the emitted power was 288 measured in two steps due to the broad emission spectrum of 289 the dye layer (from 560 to 780 nm): First, the integrated power 290 over the solid angle  $\Omega_0$  of the emitted photon flux passing 291 through a 620 nm band-pass filter with a bandwidth of 10 nm 292 was measured with a calibrated power meter,  $P_{out}(620)$ . 293 Second, the emission spectrum over the same  $\Omega_0$  was recorded 294 with a fiber-coupled spectrometer with and without the band- 295 pass filter. To ensure that the spectrum was measured correctly, 296 we have calibrated its photon counts response in the 297 wavelength range from 400 to 800 nm with a certified halogen 298 lamp. Here, we call the ratio of the photon counts measured by 299 the spectrometer with and without band-pass filter as  $\mathcal{R}(620)$ . 300 The power of the emitted photon flux without the band-pass 301 filter is given by 302

$$P_{\rm out} = P_{\rm out}(620) \cdot \mathcal{R}(620)$$
 (2) 303

f4

321



**Figure 4.** (a) Schematic representation of the optical setup used for the characterization of the figure of merit of luminescent nanoparticle arrays. A laser beam excites the sample at an angle  $\theta_{ex}$  with respect to the sample normal. The emitted photon flux passes through a diaphragm, an achromatic (AC) lens, a band-pass filter (BF) and is detected by a silicon power meter or fiber coupled spectrometer. The distance between the sample and the diaphragm is fixed to 50 mm. (b) Ratio of the measured emitted power ( $P_{out}$ ) and the excitation laser beam power ( $P_{in}$ ) as a function of the collection solid angle (or the elevation angle  $\theta_{em}$ ) in the case of bare dye layer (red squares) and the nanoparticle array with the dye layer on top (black circles). (c) Emission power enhancement of the dye layer due to the nanoparticle array as a function of the collection solid angle.

Figure 4a illustrates a schematic of the setup for the 304 measurements of F as a function of  $\Omega_0$ . The sample was 305 306 illuminated by the laser beam incident at angle  $\theta_{ex}$ . The emitted light from the sample within  $\Omega_0$  is collected by an achromatic 307 308 lens. The solid angle can be tuned with a variable diameter diaphragm. The distance between the sample and the 309 diaphragm was kept constant at l = 50 mm. The irradiance of 310 the excitation beam and the emission signal from the sample 311 passing through the 620 nm band-pass filter (fwhm = 10 nm) 312 313 was recorded by a silicon power meter. The spectra of the emitted light were taken with the fiber-coupled spectrometer. 314 Compared with the distance between the nanoparticle array 315 316 and the optical diaphragm defining  $\Omega_0$ , the small-excited area 317 on the sample ( $\sim 1 \text{ mm}^2$ ) can be approximated by a point-like 318 light source. Hence, the solid angle of the emitted photon flux 319 passing through the diaphragm and collected by the achromatic 320 lens can be approximated to

$$\Omega_0 \approx \pi \left[ \frac{D}{2l} \right]^2 \tag{3}$$

322 where *D* is the diameter of the diaphragm. The values of  $\int_{0}^{G_{0}}$ 323  $P_{\text{out}}(\lambda_{\text{out}}, \Omega) \cdot d\Omega / P_{\text{in}}(\lambda_{\text{in}})$  (for simplicity, named as  $P_{\text{out}}/P_{\text{in}}$ ) as a 324 function of  $\Omega_{0}$  for small solid angles in the forward direction are 325 presented in Figure 4b. The significantly lower  $P_{\text{out}}$  compared 326 to  $P_{\text{in}}$  is mainly due to the small collection angle in these 327 experiments and not to losses in the array of metallic 328 nanoparticles. For instance, the power reduction is 50 dB 329 when the emitted light is collected within  $\Omega_{0} = 0.01$  sr. 330 However, the value of  $P_{\text{out}}/P_{\text{in}}$  increases by enlarging the 331 collection solid angle (see Figure 4b). The ratio of  $P_{\text{out}}/P_{\text{in}}$ 332 measured with the particle array to the one measured onto the 333 bare dye layer is displayed in Figure 4c as  $P_{\text{out}}/P_{\text{in}}$  enhancement. The maximum  $P_{out}/P_{in}$  enhancement factor in the normal 334 direction is ~3.4. The enhancement factor decreases for larger 335 collection solid angles because of the strong beaming in small 336 solid angles provided by the nanoparticle array (shown in 337 Figure 3c). In addition, and as expected from eq 1, the *F* of the 338 bare dye layer is enhanced by the particle array by a factor of 339 ~3 for  $\Omega_0 = 0.015$  sr. 340

Figure 5a,b show the results of  $F(\Omega_{0 \text{ max}})$  measured with the 341 fs excitation laser beam at  $\lambda_{in}$  = 533 and 575 nm, respectively, and 342  $\Omega_{0 \text{ max}} = 6.3 \times 10^{-3} \text{ sr}$ , that is, the solid angle of maximum 343 enhancement of the emission on the forward direction. Note 344 that in such regime of small solid angles, F, is independent of 345  $\Omega_0$ , as shown by the linear behavior on Figure 4b. With these 346 measurements, we have also investigated the directional 347 excitation dependence of the sample by varying the elevation 348 of the incident angle of the laser beam with respect to surface 349 normal and recording F at the direction perpendicular to the 350surface for the two sample sides. Comparing Figure 5a,b, F for 351  $\lambda_{\rm in}$  = 575 nm is always larger than for  $\lambda_{\rm in}$  = 533 nm, in 352 accordance to the higher absorption of the dye molecules at 353 that wavelength. The triangle in Figure 5a,b correspond to F 354 measured from the back-side, while the circles are the 355 measurements from the front-side. We verify in these figures 356 that F for the bare dye film is insensitive to the angle of 357 incidence and to the detection configuration. However, for the 358 particle array F presents a small dependence on the angle of 359 incidence, which can be attributed to the angular dependent 360 scattering of the excitation beam with the nanoparticle array. 361 The dependence of F with excitation angle (see the blue  $_{362}$ triangles and the red circles in Figure 5a,b) is smaller than 363 ~20% demonstrating a good omnidirectionality of the 364 absorption over a large field of view. The value of F measured 365



**Figure 5.** Emission figure of merit as a function of the excitation angle ( $\theta_{ex}$ ) for a pump laser beam of  $\lambda_{in} = 533$  nm (a) and  $\lambda_{in} = 575$  nm (b). The black and red open circles denote *F* of the bare dye layer and dye layer on the particle array, respectively, and detected from the front side. The green and blue triangles represent *F* of the bare dye layer and dye layer on the particle array, respectively, and detected from the back side. (c) the *F* enhancement (FE) obtained from (b) by dividing the *F* of dye layer on the particle array by the *F* of the bare dye layer. The FE as a function of  $\theta$ , normalized by the averaged value of FE measured in the range  $-5^{\circ} \le \theta \le 5^{\circ}$  (indicated by the vertical dashed lines in (c)), result in the excitation enhancement (*ExE*) shown in (d). In (c) and (d), the solid black circles and green triangles denote the results for the front and back detection configuration, respectively.

366 from the back side of the sample is higher than the one obtained from the front side (shown in Figure S4a), which is 367 consistent with the previously described asymmetry beaming 368 effect in Figure 3. F is enhanced by the particle array by  $\sim 3$ 369  $_{370}$  times when detected from the back side of the sample and  $\sim 2$ times from the front side (shown in Figure 5c). In particular, F 371 372 can be near unity when the emission is detected from the back side of the particle array and the 575 nm laser beam excites the 373 374 sample with the incident angle of  $40^{\circ}$ . This near unity F 375 indicates that the thin and nonperfectly absorbing dye layer 376 with the nanoparticle array sample has the same performance in emission and in a small solid angle as an ideal Lambertian 377 luminescent layer with full absorption and unity fluorescence 378 379 QY.

To understand the enhancement of F by the nanoparticle 380 array, we have analyzed its optical resonances at  $\lambda_{in} = 533$  and 381 575 nm by measuring the extinction spectra of the sample as a 382 function of the angle of incidence  $(\theta, \phi)$ , where  $\theta$  is the 383 elevation angle and  $\phi$  azimuthal axis measured from one of the 384 principle axis of the square lattice. Figure S1c,d in the 385 Supporting Information show these measurements as a 386 function of  $\theta$  and for  $\phi = 0^{\circ}$ , 15°, 30°, and 45°. The extinction 387 as a function of  $\theta$  at  $\lambda_{in} = 575$  nm shows broad peaks that can 388 389 be partially attributed to LSPRs in the individual nanoparticles. 390 In the angle range  $-5^{\circ} \leq \theta \leq 5^{\circ}$  there are no scattering 391 resonances excited, which means that the excitation enhance- $_{392}$  ment (*ExE*) for these incident angles can be neglected. 393 Consequently, the enhancement of F, which we call FE, at

other angles of incidence can be normalized by the averaged 394 results in this range (indicated by the vertical dashed lines in 395 Figure 5c), leading to the ExE assisted by the LSPRs at the 396 excitation wavelength of 575 nm. This ExE is displayed in 397 Figure 5d, where it can be appreciated that the ExE fluctuates 398 around 1. Additionally, the ExE is insensitive to the detection 399 configuration as illustrated by the triangles and circles shown in 400 Figure S4b. This is an expected result due to the small mode 401 volume of LSPRs, which only efficiently enhances the 402 absorption of the small fraction of dye molecules distributed 403 at the vicinity of the nanoparticles. The FE could also have its 404 origin in the emission enhancement due to an enhanced 405 radiative decay rate of the dye molecules assisted by the higher 406 local density of optical states. However, the fluorescence 407 lifetime of the dye layer on the particle array is only slightly 408 reduced (see Figure S2).<sup>23</sup> Therefore, we conclude that the 409 substantial enhancement of F is mainly due to the asymmetric 410 beaming effect of the tapered nanopyramid.<sup>33</sup> 411

#### 

In summary, we have demonstrated the potential application of 413 arrays of metallic nanoparticles to act as etendue reducers and 414 thereby enhance the response of optical detectors for free-space 415 optical communication. In particular, we have shown that a 416 luminescent layer on top of a periodic array of Al nanoparticles 417 has a strong beamed emission in a solid angle in the forward 418 direction. This beamed emission can be used to improve the 419 detected signal by small field of view photodetectors. Using this 420

412

421 system, we achieve an emission figure of merit close to unity 422 using a thin layer of nonperfectly absorbing dye molecules, 423 which is equivalent to the figure of merit of a perfectly 424 absorbing layer with a quantum efficiency of 100%. In addition, 425 this figure of merit is almost independent of the angle of 426 incidence, which will enable to suppress complex pointing and 427 tracking systems for optical communication.<sup>3</sup> Further improve-428 ment of the beamed emission can be achieved by replacing the 429 layer of dye by a material with a higher absorption efficiency 430 and high quantum yield. Potential candidates are atomic-431 monolayers of 2D semiconductors,<sup>34-36</sup> inorganic perov-432 skites,<sup>37</sup> and aggregation induced emission crystals.<sup>38</sup> A thinner 433 layer of these materials with higher absorption efficiency will 434 improve the beaming of the emission and, hence, the figure of 435 merit can reach values higher than the maximum value of 1 for 436 bare, perfect emitting layers. This improved beaming can be 437 achieved by positioning the thin layer at the height on the 438 sample of maximum electric field amplitude as has been 439 recently shown in ref 31. Alternative to metallic nanonatennas, 440 also arrays of dielectric Mie resonantors could be used, suppressing the losses in the metal. However, the size of the 441 442 resonators should be larger than the nanoparticles to achieve 443 comparable polarizabilities and scattering efficiencies. In this 444 work, we have not addressed the band-limiting effects induced 445 by the dyes, which can limit the performance if data rates higher 446 than  $\sim 2$  Gbps are required.<sup>3</sup> It has been recently demonstrated 447 that using plasmonic enhancement the radiative rate of the 448 luminescent materials can be boosted over 2 orders of 449 magnitude by leveraging the Purcell effect<sup>39</sup> and, therefore, 450 can increase the bandwidth of the optical detectors. In conclusion, plasmonic enhancement provides a promising 451 method to improve the performance of luminescent detectors.

#### 453 ASSOCIATED CONTENT

#### 454 Supporting Information

455 The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the 456 ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acsphoto-457 nics.8b00298.

The optical methods, extinction spectra, photoluminescence lifetime, and the comparison of the enhancement factors (PDF).

#### 461 **AUTHOR INFORMATION**

#### 462 Corresponding Authors

- 463 \*E-mail: s.wang@differ.nl.
- 464 \*E-mail: j.gomez.rivas@tue.nl.

#### 465 ORCID 6

- 466 Shaojun Wang: 0000-0002-0812-0782
- 467 Mohammad Ramezani: 0000-0003-2781-0217
- 468 Jaime Gomez Rivas: 0000-0002-8038-0968

#### 469 Notes

470 The authors declare no competing financial interest.

### 471 **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

472 We thank M.A. Verschuuren for the fabrication of the 473 nanoparticle array used in this work. This work is part of the 474 research programme of The Netherlands Organisation for 475 Scientific Research (NWO). J.G.R. would also like to 476 acknowledge financial support through a Facebook Academy 477 Award. 478

#### REFERENCES

(1) van Sark, W. G. J. H. M.; Barnham, K. W. J.; Slooff, L. H.; 479 Chatten, A. J.; Büchtemann, A.; Meyer, A.; McCormack, S. J.; Koole, 480 R.; Farrell, D. J.; Bose, R.; et al. Luminescent Solar Concentrators - A 481 Review of Recent Results. *Opt. Express* **2008**, *16*, 21773–21792. 482

(2) Kharzheev, Y. N. Scintillation Counters in Modern High-Energy 483
Physics Experiments (Review). *Phys. Part. Nucl.* 2015, 46, 678–728. 484
(3) Peyronel, T.; Quirk, K. J.; Wang, S. C.; Tiecke, T. G. 485
Luminescent Detector for Free-Space Optical Communication. *Optica* 486
2016, 3, 787–792. 487

(4) Curto, A. G.; Volpe, G.; Taminiau, T. H.; Quidant, R.; Hulst, N. 488 F. van. Unidirectional Emission of a Quantum Dot Coupled to a 489 Nanoantenna. *Science* **2010**, *329*, 930–933. 490

(5) Tsakmakidis, K. L.; Boyd, R. W.; Yablonovitch, E.; Zhang, X. 491 Large Spontaneous-Emission Enhancements in Metallic Nanostruc- 492 tures: Towards LEDs Faster than Lasers. *Opt. Express* **2016**, *24*, 493 17916–17927. 494

(6) Mühlschlegel, P.; Eisler, H.-J.; Martin, O. J. F.; Hecht, B.; Pohl, D. 495 W. Resonant Optical Antennas. *Science* **2005**, 308, 1607–1609. 496

(7) Vecchi, G.; Giannini, V.; Gómez Rivas, J. Shaping the Fluorescent 497
 Emission by Lattice Resonances in Plasmonic Crystals of Nano- 498
 antennas. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 2009, 102, 146807.

(8) Steele, J. M.; Gagnidze, I.; Wiele, S. M. Efficient Extraction of 500 Fluorescence Emission Utilizing Multiple Surface Plasmon Modes 501 from Gold Wire Gratings. *Plasmonics* **2010**, *5*, 319–324. 502

(9) Pellegrini, G.; Mattei, G.; Mazzoldi, P. Nanoantenna Arrays for 503 Large-Area Emission Enhancement. J. Phys. Chem. C 2011, 115, 504 24662–24665. 505

(10) Zhou, W.; Odom, T. W. Tunable Subradiant Lattice Plasmons 506 by out-of-Plane Dipolar Interactions. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **2011**, *6*, 423–507 427. 508

(11) Teperik, T.; Degiron, A. Superradiant Optical Emitters Coupled 509 to an Array of Nanosize Metallic Antennas. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2012**, *108*, 510 147401. 511

(12) Saito, H.; Yamamoto, N. Control of Light Emission by a 512 Plasmonic Crystal Cavity. *Nano Lett.* **2015**, *15*, 5764–5769. 513

(13) Zakharko, Y.; Graf, A.; Schiessl, S. P.; Hahnlein, B.; Pezoldt, J.; 514 Gather, M. C.; Zaumseil, J. Broadband Tunable, Polarization-Selective 515 and Directional Emission of (6,5) Carbon Nanotubes Coupled to 516 Plasmonic Crystals. *Nano Lett.* **2016**, *16*, 3278–3284. 517

(14) Zakharko, Y.; Held, M.; Graf, A.; Rodlmeier, T.; Eckstein, R.; 518 Hernandez-Sosa, G.; Hahnlein, B.; Pezoldt, J.; Zaumseil, J. Surface 519 Lattice Resonances for Enhanced and Directional Electroluminescence 520 at High Current Densities. *ACS Photonics* **2016**, *3*, 2225–2230. 521

(15) Laux, F.; Bonod, N.; Gérard, D. Single Emitter Fluorescence 522 Enhancement with Surface Lattice Resonances. J. Phys. Chem. C 2017, 523 121, 13280–13289. 524

(16) Guo, R.; Derom, S.; Väkeväinen, A. I.; van Dijk-Moes, R. J. A.; 525
Liljeroth, P.; Vanmaekelbergh, D.; Törmä, P. Controlling Quantum 526
Dot Emission by Plasmonic Nanoarrays. *Opt. Express* 2015, 23, 527
28206–28215. 528

(17) Stehr, J.; Crewett, J.; Schindler, F.; Sperling, R.; von Plessen, G.; 529
Lemmer, U.; Lupton, J. M.; Klar, T. a.; Feldmann, J.; Holleitner, a. W.; 530
et al. A Low Threshold Polymer Laser Based on Metallic Nanoparticle 531
Gratings. Adv. Mater. 2003, 15, 1726–1729. 532

(18) Zhou, W.; Dridi, M.; Suh, J. Y.; Kim, C. H.; Co, D. T.; 533
Wasielewski, M. R.; Schatz, G. C.; Odom, T. W. Lasing Action in 534
Strongly Coupled Plasmonic Nanocavity Arrays. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* 535
2013, 8, 506–511.

(19) Schokker, A. H.; Koenderink, A. F. Lasing at the Band Edges of 537 Plasmonic Lattices. *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.* **2014**, 90, 538 155452. 539

(20) Garcia De Abajo, F. J. Colloquium: Light Scattering by Particle 540 and Hole Arrays. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **2007**, *79*, 1267–1290. 541

(21) Christ, A.; Tikhodeev, S. G.; Gippius, N. A.; Kuhl, J.; Giessen, 542 H. Waveguide-Plasmon Polaritons: Strong Coupling of Photonic and 543 Electronic Resonances in a Metallic Photonic Crystal Slab. *Phys. Rev.* 544 *Lett.* **2003**, *91*, 183901. 545 546 (22) Murai, S.; Verschuuren, M. A.; Lozano, G.; Pirruccio, G.;

- 549 Waveguides. Opt. Express 2013, 21, 4250.
- 550 (23) Lozano, G.; Louwers, D. J.; Rodríguez, S. R.; Murai, S.; Jansen,
- 551 O. T.; Verschuuren, M. A.; Gómez Rivas, J. Plasmonics for Solid-State 552 Lighting: Enhanced Excitation and Directional Emission of Highly
- 553 Efficient Light Sources. Light: Sci. Appl. 2013, 2, e66.
- 554 (24) Verschuuren, M. Substrate Conformal Imprint Lithography for
- 555 Nanophotonics. Ph.D. thesis, Univ. Amsterdam, 2009.
- 556 (25) Nikitin, A.; Remezani, M.; Rivas, J. G. Luminescent 557 Metamaterials for Solid State Lighting. *ECS J. Solid State Sci. Technol.* 558 **2016**, *5*, R3164–R3169.
- 559 (26) de Mello, J. C.; Felix Wittmann, H.; Friend, R. H. An Improved
- 560 Experimental Determination of External Photoluminescence Quantum
- 561 Effficiency. Adv. Mater. 1997, 9, 230–232.
- 562 (27) Lakowicz, J. R. Principles of Fluorescence Spectroscopy, 3rd ed.; 563 Springer, 2006.
- 564 (28) Wang, S.; Chervy, T.; George, J.; Hutchison, J. A.; Genet, C.;
- 565 Ebbesen, T. W. Quantum Yield of Polariton Emission from Hybrid 566 Light-Matter States. J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 2014, 5, 1433–1439.
- 567 (29) Lozano, G.; Grzela, G.; Verschuuren, M. A.; Ramezani, M.; 568 Rivas, J. G. Tailor-Made Directional Emission in Nanoimprinted 569 Plasmonic-Based Light-Emitting Devices. *Nanoscale* **2014**, *6*, 9223– 570 9229.
- 571 (30) Guo, K.; Lozano, G.; Verschuuren, M. A.; Gómez Rivas, J. 572 Control of the External Photoluminescent Quantum Yield of Emitters 573 Coupled to Nanoantenna Phased Arrays. *J. Appl. Phys.* **2015**, *118*, 574 73103.
- (31) Ramezani, M.; Lozano, G.; Verschuuren, M. A.; Gómez Rivas, J.
  Modified Emission of Extended Light Emitting Layers by Selective
  Coupling to Collective Lattice Resonances. *Phys. Rev. B: Condens.*Matter Mater. Phys. 2016, 94, 125406.
- 579 (32) Palik, E. D. Handbook of Optical Constants of Solids; Academic 580 Press, 1985.
- 581 (33) Rodriguez, S. R. K.; Arango, F. B.; Steinbusch, T. P.; 582 Verschuuren, M. A.; Koenderink, A. F.; Rivas, J. G. Breaking the 583 Symmetry of Forward-Backward Light Emission with Localized and 584 Collective Magnetoelectric Resonances in Arrays of Pyramid-Shaped 585 Aluminum Nanoparticles. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2014**, *113*, 247401.
- 586 (34) Amani, M.; Lien, D.; Kiriya, D.; Xiao, J.; Azcatl, A.; Noh, J.;
- 587 Madhvapathy, S. R.; Addou, R.; Kc, S.; Dubey, M.; et al. Near-Unity 588 Photoluminescence Quantum Yield in MoS<sub>2</sub>. *Science* **2015**, *350*, 589 1065–1068.
- (35) Kim, H.; Lien, D.-H.; Amani, M.; Ager, J. W.; Javey, A. Highly
  Stable Near-Unity Photoluminescence Yield in Monolayer MoS<sub>2</sub> by
  Fluoropolymer Encapsulation and Superacid Treatment. ACS Nano
  2017, 11, 5179-5185.
- 594 (36) Wang, S.; Li, S.; Chervy, T.; Shalabney, A.; Azzini, S.; Orgiu, E.;
- 595 Hutchison, J. A.; Genet, C.; Samorì, P.; Ebbesen, T. W. Coherent 596 Coupling of WS2Monolayers with Metallic Photonic Nanostructures 597 at Room Temperature. *Nano Lett.* **2016**, *16*, 4368–4374.
- 598 (37) Zhang, Q.; Su, R.; Liu, X.; Xing, J.; Sum, T. C.; Xiong, Q. High-599 Quality Whispering-Gallery-Mode Lasing from Cesium Lead Halide 600 Perovskite Nanoplatelets. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2016**, *26*, 6238–6245.
- 601 (38) Duan, Y.; Ju, C.; Yang, G.; Fron, E.; Coutino-Gonzalez, E.; 602 Semin, S.; Fan, C.; Balok, R. S.; Cremers, J.; Tinnemans, P.; et al. 603 Aggregation Induced Enhancement of Linear and Nonlinear Optical 604 Emission from a Hexaphenylene Derivative. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2016**, 605 26, 8968–8977.
- 606 (39) Traverso, A. J.; Huang, J.; Peyronel, T.; Tiecke, T. G., 607 Mikkelsen, M. H. Towards a Large, Ultrafast, Detector for Free-Space 608 Optical Communication.